Studies in Knowledge and Innovation
Vol. 2 No. 10A (2026)

Persistent Themes Are Not Automatically Comparable Themes

Blake Douglas[1] · Simon Simmons[2] · James Harrison[3]

[1] French Guiana · [2] Costa Rica · [3] Angola

Published: October 26, 2026 | Article 933

Abstract

A topic label can recur while the concerns assigned to it change. This narrative analysis examines what a cross-period theme comparison must preserve before it can support a claim about persistent experience. It distinguishes continuity of wording, continuity of classification, and continuity of the service problem being described. Hypothetical examples involving navigation and accessibility show why a stable label or frequency can conceal a changed mixture of concerns. The discussion considers interpretation under restricted access to anonymous text, including uncertain alignment, changing participation, and category revision. It proposes reporting the proposition of continuity explicitly and retaining unmatched cases as information about the comparison. The article develops a conceptual argument; no new text corpus, annotation exercise, or quantitative result is presented.

Persistent Themes Are Not Automatically Comparable Themes

Abstract

A topic label can recur while the concerns assigned to it change. This narrative analysis examines what a cross-period theme comparison must preserve before it can support a claim about persistent experience. It distinguishes continuity of wording, continuity of classification, and continuity of the service problem being described. Hypothetical examples involving navigation and accessibility show why a stable label or frequency can conceal a changed mixture of concerns. The discussion considers interpretation under restricted access to anonymous text, including uncertain alignment, changing participation, and category revision. It proposes reporting the proposition of continuity explicitly and retaining unmatched cases as information about the comparison. The article develops a conceptual argument; no new text corpus, annotation exercise, or quantitative result is presented.

The ambiguity in saying that a concern persists

A recurring topic seems to offer a straightforward longitudinal result. If the same concern appears in successive reporting periods, a dashboard can describe it as persistent and invite attention to a problem that has not gone away. The difficulty is that a theme is an analytic category rather than a fixed physical object. Its name can remain unchanged while the responses assigned to it describe different encounters. Conversely, people can use new expressions for a problem that has changed little. A useful persistence claim has to identify which kind of continuity matters.

The feedback framework proposed by Yuan et al. (2026) includes alignment of persistent themes across periods. That contribution provides the focal setting for a narrower question: what exactly should be aligned when a longitudinal comparison is meant to describe experience? This article examines that question without assessing a particular implementation or attributing the illustrative difficulties below to the focal paper. The aim is to clarify the evidential object that a successful alignment would preserve.

Three candidates require separation. Wording continuity concerns the language used in responses. Classification continuity concerns whether an analytic scheme applies categories in the same way. Problem continuity concerns whether the responses refer to the same service difficulty or experiential relationship. An analysis can establish one form of continuity while leaving another unresolved. Naming the intended form is the first step toward making the longitudinal result interpretable.

Stable words can describe a changing problem

Consider a hypothetical service whose users repeatedly mention that a feature is difficult to access. During one period, the reports concern locating a menu item. During another, the reports concern compatibility with an assistive device. A broad accessibility category could reasonably include both. Its continued appearance would show that the category remains populated. It would not by itself demonstrate that the earlier problem persisted, that a later intervention failed, or that the two sets of respondents encountered comparable barriers.

The example has no numerical findings. Its purpose is to separate a linguistic resemblance from a substantive relationship. Words such as access, confusing, or unavailable can span several service mechanisms. Treating their recurrence as a direct measurement of one enduring experience would require additional interpretation. A dashboard that uses a broad category can still be useful if the label's scope is explicit. The risk arises when its longitudinal description becomes narrower than the category it actually combines.

The reverse situation also matters. Users may adopt a product's new terminology after a redesign while describing a familiar navigation barrier. A wording-based comparison could then mark discontinuity even if the service difficulty remains comparable. The scientific question cannot therefore be reduced to whether labels or expressions match. It concerns the relationship between language and the particular problem the review intends to follow through time.

Classification is an act of interpretation

Braun and Clarke (2006) offer a methodological reference for treating thematic analysis as an interpretive activity. In a longitudinal setting, that point has a specific consequence: a theme inherits decisions about what counts as a relevant instance, what distinctions are retained, and what level of generality the name represents. Those decisions can be defensible without being timeless. An explicit classification rule is consequently part of the comparison rather than a neutral container into which every period's responses simply fall.

A category can become broader as analysts encounter new forms of concern. It can also become narrower as the service develops features that deserve separate attention. Either change may improve description of current feedback. It may simultaneously make direct comparison with an earlier category less straightforward. The relevant question is not whether category revision is allowed. It is whether the longitudinal claim acknowledges that the object being counted has changed.

This distinction applies to automated classification as well as manual interpretation. Keeping a model fixed can help preserve one computational rule, but does not establish that the meanings expressed by users remain fixed. Updating the model can improve current interpretation while changing the decision boundary used to define a theme. The analysis should describe which relationship is being maintained through either choice, rather than treat fixed software or improved classification accuracy as automatic evidence of substantive comparability.

A theme frequency needs a relevant denominator

Even when category meaning is comparable, its frequency can change because the reporting population changes. More comments about a feature might reflect more encounters with it, a different invitation process, or increased willingness to report. A stable frequency could conceal growth in the affected population alongside a falling response rate. A longitudinal display should identify what population the frequency describes before assigning an experiential interpretation to its movement or stability.

Rubin (1976) makes the observation process relevant to inference from incomplete records. In the present setting, the issue includes responses that never enter the thematic corpus. An analyst can describe submitted comments carefully while remaining uncertain about how those comments represent eligible users or encounters. The distinction between a proportion of comments and a proportion of affected people is therefore consequential. Neither quantity should be substituted for the other merely because the latter is more convenient for an operational narrative.

Anonymous text can make repeated contributors difficult to distinguish. Several comments might describe one continuing experience, or a small number of comments might represent separate encounters across many people. Where the record cannot resolve that relationship, the persistence statement should retain the uncertainty. Counting texts remains a useful description of the corpus. It does not establish the same amount or type of evidence as counting independently represented experiences.

Semantic correspondence and measurement correspondence

Two categories can be semantically related without supporting the same numerical comparison. A broad category in one period may correspond to several narrower categories later. Conversely, several earlier labels may be combined into a later theme. An alignment that records those relationships can improve navigation through the corpus while leaving a simple comparison of frequencies ambiguous. The mapping itself needs interpretation before it becomes evidence that the measured experience changed.

Putnick and Bornstein (2016) discuss comparability of measurement across groups and time. The relevance to theme alignment is an analogy about the relationship between an observed representation and the construct being compared. This article does not claim that a standard scale-invariance test can be applied unchanged to every text category. It asks the more basic question of whether the analytic representation has a sufficiently consistent meaning for the intended longitudinal statement.

Suppose an early category combines navigation and availability, whereas later categories distinguish them. Summing the later categories could recreate one broad numerical total, but it would not prove that the underlying mixture remained the same. Comparing only one of them to the old total would answer a different question again. A report should state which correspondence it uses and why that correspondence matches the proposition of persistence, instead of letting a convenient aggregation silently define the construct.

Unmatched cases are part of the result

An alignment procedure can leave some categories or responses without a convincing correspondence. That outcome may represent a genuinely new concern, a vocabulary change, a shift in granularity, or insufficient information. Treating every unmatched case as an error would assume that complete continuity is the correct answer. Treating all of them as new experience would assume a different conclusion. The unresolved status should initially remain attached to the case.

The distinction changes how coverage is reported. A comparison based only on clearly aligned categories describes the aligned part of the corpus. Its apparent coherence cannot automatically be extended to the material excluded from alignment. A review should keep that denominator visible and describe what types of material remain unmatched when the available release permits it. Completeness of the mapping and credibility of the matched correspondences are separate properties.

This also limits the interpretation of persistent themes as evidence of organizational inaction. If unmatched material contains a transformed version of an earlier concern, a stable matched category can understate change. If the matching is too broad, it can overstate continuity. Neither possibility can be resolved by presenting only successful matches. An inspectable account gives uncertain mappings a place in the evidence rather than removing them to produce a cleaner longitudinal picture.

Protection places a boundary on interpretive inspection

Analysts may be unable to display the text fragments that would otherwise help readers judge a proposed correspondence. That restriction is a reason to describe the authorized evidential boundary clearly, not a reason to reveal protected material. Privacy concepts such as those discussed by Dwork (2006) and Sweeney (2002) concern different approaches to protecting information; a dashboard should identify its actual protection arrangement rather than infer a formal guarantee from anonymity alone.

The available release may permit broad category descriptions but not individual examples. It may also suppress small categories or prevent a combination of views that would reveal sensitive attributes. In that case, some proposed alignments can remain difficult for an outside reader to assess. The report should

distinguish this lack of inspectability from demonstrated absence of ambiguity. A correspondence is not made certain simply because the underlying text cannot be shown.

Aggregate evidence can still support a useful statement when its scope is matched to the release. An analyst might establish that a broad reporting category recurs under a stated interpretation while leaving finer problem continuity unresolved. Describing that narrower conclusion protects both respondents and the scientific meaning of the result. The uncertainty concerns what the available evidence permits one to infer, not whether privacy should be treated as a defect to remove from the analysis.

Category versions belong to the longitudinal record

A theme definition can change through analyst revision, an updated model, or a revised service taxonomy. The comparison should retain which version was used for each period and whether historical responses were reinterpreted under the newer scheme. Reanalysis under a common scheme and comparison of contemporaneous schemes are different projects. Both can be legitimate, but they do not establish the same form of continuity.

Applying a later scheme retrospectively may improve semantic consistency while introducing knowledge that earlier analysts did not have. Preserving the earlier scheme may instead describe how the organization understood concerns at the time. A report should identify which question it is answering. This is particularly important when the dashboard is used to evaluate whether a concern was recognized promptly or whether a response addressed the problem as it was then understood.

Version information also helps interpret disagreement between successive analyses. A changed theme frequency can reflect new feedback, a new category definition, or a new mapping between categories. Without the version record, those changes can appear as one movement in experience. Keeping the transformations separate makes the result more informative and gives later reviewers a way to locate why two summaries of the same historical period differ.

Persistence does not identify the reason for persistence

Once a credible correspondence is established, the recurrence still does not explain itself. The same concern could continue because a service problem remains, because users repeatedly enter a difficult learning stage, or because the reporting channel preferentially captures that concern. These are different accounts of a persistent record. A clear descriptive result should not be weakened by pretending that it also distinguishes every explanation.

Shmueli (2010) provides a useful distinction between explanatory and predictive objectives. In the present context, a recurring theme can help anticipate future feedback without identifying why the underlying experience continues. It can also justify a focused review without establishing a causal effect of any proposed remedy. The intended use should therefore remain visible when persistence is assigned organizational meaning.

An illustrative decision might be to examine a navigation concern that appears in several periods. The justification could be its sustained presence in the authorized feedback record, rather than a definitive conclusion that one unchanged defect harmed the same population throughout. Subsequent evidence might show continuity of the problem, a changed mixture of concerns, or a reporting effect. Each outcome would refine the interpretation of the persistent theme instead of making the original descriptive observation worthless.

A claim-centered interpretation of alignment

The most informative alignment question begins with the proposition that the review wants to establish. If the proposition concerns recurrence of a broad concern category, a relatively coarse correspondence may be appropriate. If it concerns the persistence of a specific service barrier, the evidence has to preserve that narrower meaning. If it concerns whether users' experience improved, exposure and participation become part of the comparison as well. One mapping should not be expected to certify all three propositions.

This approach changes the role of visual verification. Inspection can assess whether an alignment is coherent with the permitted evidence and whether alternative mappings change the descriptive conclusion. It cannot manufacture observations excluded by privacy protection or determine the experiences of nonrespondents. The report should describe what the inspection actually resolved. A visually plausible mapping is useful evidence about that mapping, not an unrestricted validation of the entire interpretation chain.

The approach also gives ambiguity a productive role. A category whose correspondence remains uncertain can identify where the current taxonomy no longer represents the service well. A stable label with changing contents can reveal a limit of the reporting instrument. These outcomes may motivate a more precise future collection or classification question. Their value lies in making the comparison better defined, rather than forcing every reporting period into an appearance of complete continuity.

Conclusion

Persistent themes are comparable only relative to a stated proposition of continuity. Repeated wording, consistent classification, and an enduring service problem describe different relationships between responses and experience. A longitudinal account should preserve the population entering the corpus, the versions of its categories, the support for each correspondence, and the cases that remain unmatched. Protection limits what can be inspected and should remain part of that account. With these boundaries explicit, a recurring theme can support a proportionate review decision while leaving its causes and its meaning for unobserved users available for separate evidence.

References

Putnick, D. L., & Bornstein, M. H. (2016). Measurement invariance conventions and reporting: The state of the art and future directions for psychological research. *Developmental Review, 41*, 71-90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2016.06.004

Shmueli, G. (2010). To Explain or to Predict? *Statistical Science, 25*(3), 289-310. https://doi.org/10.1214/10-STS330

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77-101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Sweeney, L. (2002). k-Anonymity: A model for protecting privacy. *International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based Systems, 10*(5), 557-570. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218488502001648

Yuan, Y., Huang, J., Yin, J., & Xu, T. (2026). Visual Verification of user Experience Differences under Privacy Constraints in Anonymous Feedback Data. *SSRN working paper 7393298*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.7393298

Rubin, D. B. (1976). Inference and missing data. *Biometrika, 63*(3), 581-592. https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/63.3.581

Dwork, C. (2006). Differential Privacy. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1007/11787006_1